

## ON SUBGROUPS CONTAINING NON-TRIVIAL NORMAL SUBGROUPS

BY

MARCEL HERZOG

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences  
Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel  
e-mail: herzogm@post.tau.ac.il*

AND

GIL KAPLAN

*Department of Computer Sciences, The Academic College of Tel Aviv–Yaffo  
4 Antokolsky St., Tel Aviv 64044, Israel  
e-mail: gil6k@netvision.net.il*

AND

ANDREA LUCCHINI

*Dipartimento di Elettronica per l'Automazione, Università degli Studi di Brescia  
Via Branze, 25123 Brescia, Italy  
e-mail: andrea.lucchini@unibs.it*

### ABSTRACT

We prove that if  $A \neq 1$  is a subgroup of a finite group  $G$  and the order of an element in the centralizer of  $A$  in  $G$  is strictly larger (larger or equal) than the index  $[G : A]$ , then  $A$  contains a non-trivial characteristic (normal) subgroup of  $G$ . Consequently, if  $A$  is a stabilizer in a transitive permutation group of degree  $m > 1$ , then  $\exp(Z(A)) < m$ . These theorems generalize some recent results of Isaacs and the authors.

---

Received July 22, 2002 and in revised form November 12, 2002

## I. Introduction

All groups in this paper are finite. For a group  $G$  we denote the center, the Frattini subgroup and the exponent of  $G$  by  $Z(G)$ ,  $\Phi(G)$  and  $\exp(G)$ , respectively. The notation  $K \text{ char } G$  stands for “ $K$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ ”. Moreover, we denote by  $\text{Exp}(G)$  the maximal order of a cyclic subgroup of  $G$ . We have  $\exp(Z(G)) \leq \text{Exp}(G) \leq \exp(G)$  for any group  $G$ , and  $\text{Exp}(G) = \exp(G)$  if  $G$  is nilpotent.

In [3] Isaacs proved the following theorem, which we state in a re-formulated form:

**THEOREM I:** *Let  $G \neq 1$  be a group with an abelian subgroup  $A$  such that  $\exp(A) \geq [G : A]$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

This theorem generalizes the following result of Lucchini [4], which was also proved independently and using different methods by the other authors of this paper in [1]:

**THEOREM L:** *Let  $G \neq 1$  be a group with a cyclic subgroup  $A$  such that  $|A| \geq |G|^{1/2}$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

In [1] a related result was also proved:

**THEOREM HK:** *Let  $G$  be a group with a cyclic subgroup  $A$  such that  $|A| > |G|^{1/2}$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of  $G$ .*

In this paper we generalize all these results in two directions. Firstly, we allow  $A$  to be an arbitrary subgroup of  $G$  instead of being cyclic or abelian, and secondly, we assume only that  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A))|A| \geq |G|$  (or  $>$ ), which is weaker than  $\exp(A)|A| \geq |G|$  (or  $>$ ) in the case when  $A$  is abelian. We prove

**THEOREM A:** *Let  $A$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  satisfying  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A)) > [G : A]$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of  $G$ .*

We also prove

**THEOREM B:** *Let  $A \neq 1$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  satisfying  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A)) \geq [G : A]$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

In the language of permutation group theory, which is used in the papers of Isaacs and Lucchini, Theorem B implies

**THEOREM C:** *Suppose that  $A \neq 1$  is a point stabilizer in a transitive permutation group  $G$  of degree  $m > 1$ . Then  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A)) < m$ .*

Another application of Theorem B is the following theorem, where orders of certain non-trivial elements are compared with sizes of their conjugacy classes.

**THEOREM D:** *If  $1 \neq g \in G$  and  $C_G(g)$  contains no non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ , then*

$$o(g) < [G : C_G(g)].$$

If  $A$  is abelian, then, as mentioned above,  $\exp(A) \leq \exp(C_G(A))$  and hence our numerical assumptions in Theorem B are weaker than those of Theorem I.

Since  $\exp(C_G(A)) \geq \exp(Z(A))$  for any subgroup  $A$  of  $G$ , our theorems A–C yield the following corollaries:

**COROLLARY A1:** *Let  $A$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  satisfying  $\exp(Z(A)) > [G : A]$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of  $G$ .*

**COROLLARY B1:** *Let  $A \neq 1$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  satisfying  $\exp(Z(A)) \geq [G : A]$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

**COROLLARY C1:** *Suppose that  $A$  is a point stabilizer in a transitive permutation group  $G$  of degree  $m > 1$ . Then  $\exp(Z(A)) < m$ .*

We also mention the following immediate corollary of Theorem A:

**COROLLARY A2:** *Let  $G$  be a group with an abelian subgroup  $A$  such that  $\exp(A) > [G : A]$ . Then  $A$  contains a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of  $G$ .*

## II. Proofs

In our proofs we shall use Lemma 1.1 in [4], which is restated below:

**LEMMA L1:** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with the property that all its non-trivial normal subgroups are non-abelian. Then*

- (a)  $|G| \geq |H||C_G(H)|$  for each subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,
- (b)  $|G| > |H||C_G(H)|$  for each non-trivial solvable subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

We start with

*Proof of Theorem A:* We apply induction on  $|G|$ . Suppose, first, that there exists a non-trivial characteristic subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , such that  $AK \neq G$ , and choose  $M$  to be maximal with respect to this property. Let  $B$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $C_G(A)$  of maximal order, which, by our assumptions, satisfies  $|B| = \exp(C_G(A)) > [G : A]$ . We claim that  $|B \cap M| > [M : A \cap M]$ . Indeed, suppose on the contrary that  $|B \cap M| \leq [M : A \cap M] = [AM : A]$ . Then

$$|BM/M| = |B|/|B \cap M| > [G : A]/[AM : A] = [G/M : AM/M]$$

and hence  $AM/M$  is a subgroup of  $G/M$  such that  $\text{Exp}(C_{G/M}(AM/M)) > [G/M : AM/M]$ . It follows by the induction hypothesis applied to  $G/M$  that  $AM/M$  contains a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of  $G/M$ , say  $L/M$ . Since  $M \text{ char } G$ , we have also  $L \text{ char } G$ , and since  $L > M$  and  $AL = AM \neq G$ , we have obtained a contradiction to the maximality of  $M$ . Thus our claim that  $|B \cap M| > [M : A \cap M]$  has been proved. Consequently,  $A \cap M$  is a subgroup of  $M$  such that  $\text{Exp}(C_M(A \cap M)) > [M : A \cap M]$ , and it follows by the induction hypothesis applied to  $M$  that there exists a non-trivial subgroup  $D \leq A \cap M$ , which is characteristic in  $M$ . But  $M \text{ char } G$ , so  $D \text{ char } G$ , as required.

So assume, from now on, that  $1 \neq K \text{ char } G$  implies  $AK = G$ . Notice that  $A$  is a subgroup of  $G$  satisfying

$$|A||C_G(A)| \geq |A||B| > |A|[G : A] = |G|,$$

and by Lemma L1(a) we may conclude that  $G$  has a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup. It follows that  $G$  has a minimal non-trivial abelian characteristic  $p$ -subgroup, say  $P$ , for some prime  $p$ . By our assumption  $AP = G$  and so  $[G : A]$  is a power of  $p$ .

Suppose that  $Z(G) = 1$ . Let  $F$  denote the field of  $p$  elements. Then  $P$  is a simple  $F\text{Aut}(G)$ -module and it follows by Clifford's theorem (Theorem 2.14 in [2]) that  $P$  is a semisimple  $F\text{Inn}(G)$ -module. Consequently, as  $A \cap P \triangleleft G$ , there exists  $X \leq P$  such that  $X \triangleleft G$  and  $P = (A \cap P) \times X$ . Hence  $|X| = [G : A]$  and, since  $Z(G) = 1$ , the group  $B$  acts faithfully on  $X$  by conjugation. Thus, by Corollary 2 in [1], we have  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A)) = |B| < |X| = [G : A]$ , in contradiction to our assumptions.

So  $Z(G) \neq 1$  and by our assumptions  $AZ(G) = G$ . Hence  $G' \leq A$  and the theorem holds if  $G' \neq 1$ . It remains to deal with the case that  $G$  is abelian. If  $A$  is not a  $p$ -group, then it clearly contains a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of  $G$ . So we may assume that  $A$ , and hence  $G$ , is an abelian  $p$ -group. If  $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ , then  $A\Phi(G) = G$ , which implies that  $G = A$  and the theorem holds. So we may assume that  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. Since  $p = \text{Exp}(C_G(A)) > [G : A]$ , we conclude again that  $A = G$ , and the theorem holds in all cases. ■

The proof of Theorem B is similar to that of Theorem A.

*Proof of Theorem B:* We apply induction on  $|G|$ . Suppose, first, that there exists a non-trivial normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $AN \neq G$ , and choose  $M$  to be maximal with respect to this property. Let  $B$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $C_G(A)$  of maximal order, which satisfies  $|B| \geq [G : A]$ . If  $|B \cap M| > [M : A \cap M]$ , then applying Theorem A to  $M$  and  $A \cap M$ , we conclude that there exists a non-trivial

subgroup  $D \leq A \cap M$  such that  $D$  is characteristic in  $M$  and hence normal in  $G$ , as required. So suppose that  $|B \cap M| \leq [M : A \cap M]$ . Then it follows, by arguments used in the proof of Theorem A, that  $|BM/M| \geq [G/M : AM/M]$ . If  $A \not\leq M$  holds, then by induction  $AM/M$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G/M$ , say  $L/M$ . Since  $AL = AM \neq G$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $M$ . If  $A \leq M$ , then  $|BM/M| \geq |G/M|$  and hence  $G = BM$ . Since  $|B| \geq [G : A]$ , it follows that  $|B \cap M| \geq [M : A]$  and, applying induction to  $M$  and  $A$ , we may conclude that  $A$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup  $D$  of  $M$ . But  $G = BM$ , so  $D \triangleleft G$ , as required.

So assume from now on that  $1 \neq K \triangleleft G$  implies  $AK = G$ . By our assumptions

$$|A||C_G(A)| \geq |A||B| \geq |A|[G : A] = |G|.$$

If  $|A||C_G(A)| = |G|$ , then  $C_G(A) = B$  is cyclic and

$$|B||C_G(B)| \geq |B||A| = G,$$

which implies by Lemma L1(b) that  $G$  has a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup. The same conclusion may be drawn in the complementary case, i.e.,  $|A||C_G(A)| > |G|$ , by means of Lemma L1(a). It follows that  $G$  has a minimal non-trivial abelian characteristic  $p$ -subgroup, say  $P$ , for some prime  $p$ . By our assumptions  $G = AP$ , and hence  $[G : A]$  is a power of  $p$ .

If  $Z(G) = 1$  then, as in the proof of Theorem A, there exists a complement  $X$  of  $A \cap P$  in  $P$ , which is normal in  $G$ , and  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A)) = |B| < |X| = [G : A]$ , in contradiction to our assumptions. Thus  $Z(G) \neq 1$  and  $G = AZ(G)$ . Hence  $1 \neq A \leq G$ , as required, and the proof is complete. ■

We conclude with

*Proof of Theorem D:* Denote  $A = C_G(g)$  and suppose, on the contrary, that  $o(g) \geq [G : A]$ . Clearly  $g \in C_G(A)$  and hence  $\text{Exp}(C_G(A)) \geq o(g) \geq [G : A]$ . This implies, by Theorem B, that  $A = C_G(g)$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ , contradicting our assumptions. ■

## References

- [1] M. Herzog and G. Kaplan, *Large cyclic subgroups contain non-trivial normal subgroups*, J. Group Theory **4** (2001), 247–253.
- [2] B. Huppert, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 25, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.

- [3] I. M. Isaacs, *Abelian point stabilizers in transitive permutation groups*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **130** (2002), 1923–1925.
- [4] A. Lucchini, *On the order of transitive permutation groups with cyclic point-stabilizer*, Rendiconti di Matematica dell'Accademia delle Lincei **9** (1998), 241–243.